

Hon. Richard A. Jones

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

|                           |   |                           |
|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | ) | No. 2:24-cr-00111-RAJ     |
|                           | ) |                           |
| Plaintiff,                | ) |                           |
|                           | ) | ORDER CONTINUING          |
| v.                        | ) | TRIAL DATE AND            |
|                           | ) | PRETRIAL MOTIONS DEADLINE |
| JEREMY D. BRINKMAN,       | ) |                           |
|                           | ) |                           |
| Defendant.                | ) |                           |

THE COURT has considered Jeremy Brinkman's unopposed motion to continue the trial date and extend the pretrial motions deadline and finds that:

(a) taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a failure to grant a continuance in this case would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective case preparation due to the time needed for reviewing evidence, researching legal issues and defense, and overall trial preparations, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv);

(b) a failure to grant such a continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i);

(c) the additional time requested is a reasonable period of delay as the defendant has requested more time to prepare for trial, to investigate the matter, to gather evidence material to the defense, and to consider possible defenses;

(d) the ends of justice will best be served by a continuance, and the ends of justice outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in any speedier trial, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A); and

1 (e) the time requested between the current trial date and the new trial date is  
2 needed to provide counsel the reasonable time necessary to prepare for trial considering  
3 counsel's schedule and all the facts set forth above.

4 IT IS ORDERED that the unopposed motion (Dkt. 21) is GRANTED. The trial  
5 date is continued to April 28, 2025. All pretrial motions, including motions in limine,  
6 shall be filed no later than February 28, 2025.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the period of delay from the date of this order  
8 to the new trial date of April 28, 2025, is excludable time pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§  
9 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv).

10  
11 DATED this 14th day of August, 2024.

12  
13 

14 The Honorable Richard A. Jones  
15 United States District Judge  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26